DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 451 321 UD 034 102

TITLE	High Schools Performance Indicators Profile, 1999-2000: Manhattan Superintendency.
INSTITUTION	New York City Board of Education, Brooklyn. Div. of Assessment and Accountability.
PUB DATE	2001-02-16
NOTE	31p.
AVAILABLE FROM	New York City Board of Education, Division of Assessment and Accountability, 110 Livingston Street, Room 728, Brooklyn, NY 11201. For full text: http://www.nycenet.edu.
PUB TYPE	Numerical/Quantitative Data (110)
EDRS PRICE	MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS	Accountability; Discipline; Dropout Rate; Educational Finance; English; English (Second Language); Graduation; *High Schools; Language Arts; Leadership; Mathematics Achievement; Parent Participation; Parent School Relationship; Reading Achievement; School Administration; Special Education; Student Characteristics; Suspension; Tables (Data); Teacher Certification; Teacher Recruitment; Urban Schools
IDENTIFIERS	*New York City Board of Education; *School Performance Indicators

ABSTRACT

This report presents data on high school performance indicators for the 1999-00 school year in Manhattan, New York. Data are provided on: background; students (numbers and ethnicity); state accountability indicators in English and language arts (full cohort and seniors in the cohort); state accountability indicators in mathematics (full cohort); performance indicators for cohort graduation and dropout rates (4-year and 7-year outcomes); performance indicators for types of diplomas (4-year and 7-year outcomes); special education services (referral rates, decertifications from special education, rate of English language learner, or ELL, and non-ELL referrals, related services, counseling, and speech); ELLs (student performance and ELL student years in program); school discipline/climate (student incidents and suspensions); administration (attendance, cases issued, and police department incidents); fiscal management (timeliness of payments to vendors and textbook allocation); recruitment (percentage of certified teachers and change in percentage of certified teachers); community parent relationships (schools with leadership teams, schools with 50-percent parent membership on teams, and schools holding parent elections for teams); and regents cohort explanation (students assigned to the cohort and assessments that count toward meeting the English and mathematics examination requirements). (SM)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made
from the original document.

ED 451 321

High Schools Performance Indicators Profile 1999-2000

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
✓ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

R. Tobias

UD 034 102

Manhattan Superintendency



BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Background Information

Location: Manhattan

Superintendent: W. L. Sawyer

Time in Position: 1 year 5 months
(As of Feb 1, 2001)

Capacity utilization, (1999-2000)



Schools in 1999 - 2000

Number of Schools:	44
SURR :	6
Title 1 :	19
School Wide Programs :	10

Student Profile, 1999 - 2000

Number of Students: 46,702



Ethnicity of Student Population Percent





State Accountability Indicators
English Language Arts
Class Of 2000 Cohort*

Manhattan

FULL COHORT

All Students: Gen. Ed., Spec. Ed., And English Language Learners



SENIORS IN THE COHORT



Passed English Regents (score = 65-100)

Passed English Regents, (score = 55-64) **Portfolio or safety net assessment**

Tested and did not pass

Not tested

2

* See page 14 for description of cohort and assessments

02/16/2001

State Accountability Indicators
Mathematics
Class of 2000 Cohort*
Manhattan

FULL COHORT

All Students: Gen. Ed., Spec. Ed., And English Language Learners



3

* See page 14 for description of cohort and assessments

02/16/2001

Performance Indicators
Cohort Graduation and Dropout Rates
Manhattan



Performance Indicators
Types of Diplomas
Manhattan



Special Education Services





Decertifications from Special Education





BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Special Education Services (Continued)

Related Services

Counseling



Speech



Average Monthly Number of Cases Awaiting Assessment for More than 30 Days



English Language Learners

Student Performance*

Percent (of students tested)
Showing Mandated Gains - LAB



 # tested: **3053** **1389**

Population/Operations* ELL Student Years of Service Percent of all ELL students



Superboro City

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

School Discipline/Climate





Administration



Police Department Incidents

Jan. 1, 2000 - May 31, 2000



Fiscal Management

Timeliness of Payments to Vendors



Textbook Allocation



RECRUITMENT

Percentage of Certified Teachers



Change in Percentage of Certified Teachers
Fall 1998 - Fall 1999



Change in Percentage of Certified Teachers in SURR Schools
Fall 1998 - Fall 1999



Superboro City

Community Parent Relationships







Superboro City

Regents Cohort Explanation

STUDENTS ASSIGNED TO THE COHORT

All students (general education, English language learners, and special education) in a New York City high school:

- Who entered grade 9 *anywhere* in fall 1996 or spring 1997;

AND

- Who were enrolled in a school in this superintendency on June18, 1999 regardless of their grade level at that time.

Exclusions from the 2000 Cohort

- Special education students who were either in ungraded classes or were pursuing an IEP diploma.
- All students who transferred to another high school anywhere (including New York City Public Schools), a criminal justice facility, or an approved alternative high school equivalency preparation program, after June 18, 1999.
- Students who left the U.S. after June 18, 1999 and deceased students.

NOTE: Students who dropped out after June 18, 1999 remain in the cohort.

ASSESSMENTS THAT COUNT TOWARD MEETING THE ENGLISH EXAMINATION REQUIREMENT

- Scores of 55 or higher on the Regents Comprehensive Examination in English;

OR

- Satisfactory scores on an SED-approved alternative assessment;

OR

- For special education students, passing scores on *both* the reading and writing RCTs, even if students have failed the English Regents;

OR

- For special education students who are English language learners, passing scores on *both* the LAB English reading sub-test and Native-language-arts writing test.

ASSESSMENTS THAT COUNT TOWARD MEETING THE MATHEMATICS EXAMINATION REQUIREMENT

- Scores of 55 or higher on the Regents Comprehensive Examination in Mathematics;

OR

- Scores of 55 or higher on the Regents Competency Test in Mathematics;

OR

- Satisfactory scores on an SED-approved alternative assessment.